|  |                          | Application No.                                   |                        | Applicant(s)                             |              |
|--|--------------------------|---|------------------------|--|--------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ  | mary                     | 10/082,618  |                        | OLSTEIN ET AL.                           |              |
| Examiner-initiated interview Sumir   |                          | Examiner  |                        | Art Unit                                 |              |
|  |                          | Zachariah Lucas                                   |                        | 1648                                     |              |
| All Participants:  |                          | Status of Applicat                                | tion:                  |  |              |
| (1) Zachariah Lucas.   |                          | (3)   |                        |  |              |
| (2) <u>Joseph T. Leone</u> .   |                          | (4)   |                        |  |              |
| Date of Interview: <u>5 August 2005</u>  |                          | Time:   |                        |  |              |
|  | ☐ Applica                | ant's representative)                             |                        | ·  |              |
| Part I.  |                          |   |                        |  |              |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NA   |                          |   |                        |  |              |
| Claims discussed: Claim 1  |                          |   |                        |  |              |
| Prior art documents discussed: NA  |                          |   |                        |  |              |
| Part II.   |                          |   |                        |  |              |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH<br>See Continuation Sheet   | HE GENEI                 | RAL NATURE OF WH                                  | IAT WAS                | DISCUSSED:                               |              |
| Part III.  |                          |   |                        |  | •            |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state did not result in resolution of all issues. A brie</li> </ul> | ation. The<br>separate r | e examiner will provide<br>ecord of the substance | e a writte<br>e of the | en summary of the since interview, since | ne substance |
|  |                          |   |                        |  |              |
|  |                          |   |                        |  |              |
|  |                          |   |                        |  |              |
|  |                          |   |                        |  |              |
|  |                          |   |                        |  |              |
|  |                          | ·   |                        |  |              |
|  |                          |   |                        |  |              |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (   | Applicant                | /Applicant's Represen                             | tative Sig             | nature – if appr                         | opriate)     |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims would be in condition for immediate allowance if the following amendments were made to claim 1:

Amending the claim to read on "An isolated" complex, and

Amending the claim to delete the phrase ", wherein the complex is disposed within an isolated sample to be tested" and to insert the phrase - - chelated by the nisin- - after the term "cobalt" in line 3 of the claim. The Applicant indicated that these amendments were acceptable, and that they may be made by Examiner's Amendment.